# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

# SHREVEPORT DIVISION

CURTIS HALL CIVIL ACTION NO. 13-2503-P

VERSUS JUDGE HICKS

JAMES LEBLANC, ET AL. MAGISTRATE JUDGE HORNSBY

# REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

In accordance with the standing order of this court, this matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for review, report and recommendation.

# STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Before the court is a civil rights complaint filed <u>in forma pauperis</u> by <u>pro se</u> plaintiff Curtis Hall ("Plaintiff"), pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This complaint was received and filed in this court on August 16, 2013. Plaintiff is currently incarcerated at the Allen Correctional Center, but he complains his civil rights were violated by prison officials while incarcerated at the David Wade Correctional Center in Homer, Louisiana. He names James LeBlanc, Jerry Goodwin, Angie Huff, Lonnie Nail, Col. Turner, Karen Bays, and Misty Adams as defendants.

Plaintiff was ordered on August 21, 2014, to file, within 30 days of the service of the order, an amended complaint (Doc. 7). To date, Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.

Accordingly;

**PREJUDICE**, sua sponte, for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as interpreted by the court and under the court's inherent power to control its own docket. See Link v. Wabash Railroad Company, 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386 (1962); Rogers v. Kroger Company, 669 F.2d 317, 320-321 (5th Cir. 1983).

# **OBJECTIONS**

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), parties aggrieved by this recommendation have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court, unless an extension of time is granted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). A party may respond to another party's objections within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof. Counsel are directed to furnish a courtesy copy of any objections or responses to the District Judge at the time of filing.

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation set forth above, within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy shall bar that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the proposed factual findings and legal conclusions that were accepted by the district court and that were not objected to by the aforementioned party. See Douglas v. U.S.A.A., 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

**THUS DONE AND SIGNED**, in chambers, at Shreveport, Louisiana, on this 9th day of March 2015.

Mark L. Hornsby U.S. Magistrate Judge